1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 SIDDHARTH HARIHARAN. No. C11-02509 JCS 7 Plaintiff(s), NOTICE OF IMPENDING REASSIGNMENT TO A UNITED 8 v. STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE ADOBE SYSTEMS INC, 9 10 Defendant(s). 11 12 The Clerk of this Court will now randomly reassign this case to a United States District 13 Judge because either: 14 [X] One or more of the parties has requested reassignment to a United States District Judge 15 or has not consented to the jurisdiction of a United States Magistrate Judge, or 16 [] One or more of the parties has sought a type of judicial action (e.g., a temporary 17 restraining order) that a United States Magistrate Judge may not take without the consent of all 18 parties, the necessary consents have not been secured, and time is of the essence. 19 ALL HEARING DATES PRESENTLY SCHEDULED BEFORE MAGISTRATE JUDGE 20 SPERO ARE VACATED AND SHOULD BE RE-NOTICED FOR HEARING BEFORE THE 21 JUDGE TO WHOM THIS CASE HAS BEEN REASSIGNED. 22 23 Dated: May 31, 2011 Richard W. Wieking, Clerk United States District Court 24 25 By: Karen L. Hom 26 Deputy Clerk 27 cc: Intake 28